

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,480	10/23/2003	Silviu Itescu	0575/66602-B/JPW/BJA	2572
7590 10/18/2006		EXAMINER		
John P. White			SCHULTZ, JAMES	
Cooper & Dunh	am LLP			
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			1635	
		DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/693,480	ITESCU, SILVIU	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		J. D. Schultz, Ph.D.	1635	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N . nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 (	October 2003		
		s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allower		secution as to the merits is	
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10,14,16-20,24 and 35-37</u> is/are po	ending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10,14,16-20,24 and 35-37</u> are subj	ect to restriction and/or election re	equirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar ar		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		- - - - - -	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	nriority under 35 H S C & 110(a)	-(d) or (f)	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	i priority drider 33 0.3.6. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).	
<b>σ</b> /1	Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received		
	Certified copies of the priority document		on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
	application from the International Burea		a in this Hational Stage	
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.	
Λttachma==	We).			
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗖 Into	(DTO 442)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a method of treating a disorder of a subject's heart comprising administering a composition comprising an amount of a human stromal-derived factor and human granulocyte-colony stimulating factor, classified in class 514, subclass 1. Election of this group requires the further election of a single human stromal-derieved factor-1 selected from the group consisting of  $1\alpha$ ,  $1\beta$ , and  $1\gamma$ , AND a single molecule selected from the group consisting of a human granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor, a human interleukin-8, a human vascular endothelial growth factor, a human fibroblast growth factor, a human Gro family chemokine, human endothelial progenitor cells, or a pro-angiogenic agent, for reasons provided below. These are not species elections. Election of this group also requires the further species election of a single disorder from the group consisting of myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, chronic ischemia or ischemic factor, AND the further species election of a single mode of administration selected from the group consisting of intramyocardially, intracoronally, via a stent, via a scaffold or via slow release formula.
- II. Claims 10, 14, 16-19, drawn to a method of treating a disorder of a tissue involving loss and/or apoptosis of cells of the tissue comprising administering a composition comprising an amount of an agent which induces phosphorylation

and/or activation of protein kinase B, classified in class 514, subclass 1. Election of this group requires the further election of a single agent selected from the group consisting of insulin, endothelin-l, urocrotin, cardiotropin-l, erythropoietin, leukemia inhibitory factor-l, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha, AND the further election of a single a human granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor, a human stromal-derived factor-1, a human granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor, a human interleukin-8, a human vascular endothelial growth factor, a human fibroblast growth factor, a human Gro family chemokine, human endothelial progenitor cells, or a pro-angiogenic agent, for reasons provided below. These are not species elections. Election of this group also requires the further species election of a single tissue from the group consisting of heart muscle, striated muscle, live, kidney, neuronal or gastrointestinal tissue.

- III. Claim 20, drawn to a composition comprising a human stromal-derived factor-1 and a human granulocyte-colony stimulating factor, classified in class 530, subclass 351.
- IV. Claim 24, drawn to a method of treating a subject suffering from a disorder of a tissue involving loss and/or apoptosis of cells of the tissue which comprises administering to the subject a composition comprising an amount of an agent which induces phosphorylation and/or activation of an extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase, the composition being administered in an amount effective to inhibit apoptosis and/or cause proliferation of the cells of the tissue

within the subject so as to thereby treat the disorder, classified in class 514, subclass 1.

V. Claims 35-37, drawn to a method of treating a subject suffering from a disorder of a tissue involving loss and/or apoptosis of cells of the tissue which comprises administering to the subject a composition comprising an amount of an agent which induces activation of CXCR4, the composition being administered in an amount effective to cause proliferation of the cells and/or inhibition of apoptosis of the cells of the tissue within the subject so as to thereby treat the disorder, classified in class 514, subclass 1. Election of this group requires the further species election of a single route of administration selected from the group consisting of intramyocardially, intracoronarily, via a stent, a scaffold, or via slow-release formulation for reasons provided below.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions III and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process, such as using the claimed composition to investigate their roles in stimulating cardiomyocyte growth in vitro. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not

Application/Control Number: 10/693,480

Art Unit: 1635

required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I, II, IV and IV are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed each have different designs and effects, since each Group uses either a unique compound, or targets a unique target. For example, Groups I and II each use a unique combination of compounds, while Group IV targets an extracellular signal related kinase, and Group V targets CXCR4, all of which are not shared with any other Group. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Furthermore, election of Group I requires the further election of a single human stromal-derieved factor-1 selected from the group consisting of  $1\alpha$ ,  $1\beta$ , and  $1\gamma$ , AND a single molecule selected from the group consisting of a human granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor, a human interleukin-8, a human vascular endothelial growth factor, a human fibroblast growth factor, a human Gro family chemokine, human endothelial progenitor cells, or a proangiogenic agent. Group II requires the further election of a single agent selected from the group

consisting of insulin, endothelin-l, urocrotin, cardiotropin-l, erythropoietin, leukemia inhibitory factor-l, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha, AND the further election of a single a human granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor, a human stromal-derived factor-1, a human granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor, a human interleukin-8, a human vascular endothelial growth factor, a human fibroblast growth factor, a human Gro family chemokine, human endothelial progenitor cells, or a pro-angiogenic agent. Each such compound is related, but distinct. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are mutually exclusive owing to their unique structure, and therefore also have at least unique designs. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The restriction requirement among the linked human stromal-derived factors, the growth factors, and the agents of Group II are each **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking generic claim(s). Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined

Application/Control Number: 10/693,480

Art Unit: 1635

for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

## **Species**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, chronic ischemia or ischemic factor. The species are independent or distinct because each has its own unique design that does not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants. Each also carries its own enablement consideration, the search and examination of which are considered to constitute serious burdens on the Office.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: single mode of administration selected from the group consisting of intramyocardially, intracoronally, via a stent, via a scaffold or via slow release formula. The species are independent or distinct because each has its own unique design that does not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants. Each also carries its own enablement consideration, the search and examination of which are considered to constitute serious burdens on the Office.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: heart muscle, striated muscle, live, kidney, neuronal or gastrointestinal tissue. The species are independent or distinct because each has its own unique design that does not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants. Each also carries its own enablement consideration, the search and examination of which are considered to constitute serious burdens on the Office.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 10 is generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: routes of administration selected from the group consisting of intramyocardially, intracoronarily, via a stent, a scaffold, or via slow-release formulation. The species are independent or distinct

because each has its own unique design that does not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants. Each also carries its own enablement consideration, the search and examination of which are considered to constitute serious burdens on the Office.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 35 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. D. Schultz, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0763. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,480

Art Unit: 1635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**JDS** 

Page 10